## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/724,185 SATO, TATSUYA Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Charles H. Nolan, Jr. 2854 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Charles H. Nolan, Jr.. (2) Eliot Malamud. Date of Interview: 05 May 2005. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1-18. Identification of prior art discussed: Art of Record. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant came in to further clarify the amendment filed April 29, 2005. The Examiner agreed with Applicant that the amendment should place the case in condition for allowance. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE

INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Charles H. Nolan, Jr.
Primary Examiner

Tech Centre Regularity

Examiner's signature, if required